povmap: Extension to the emdi package for small area estimation

Ifeanyi EdochieDavid NewhouseIWorld Bank GroupWorld Bank GroupWorld Bank Group

Timo Schmid Otto Friedrich University Bamberg Nora Würz Otto Friedrich University Bamberg

Abstract

The R package **povmap** is designed to facilitate the production of small area estimates of means and poverty headcount rates. It adds several new features to the **emdi** package. These include new options for incorporating survey weights, ex-post benchmarking of estimates, two additional transformations, several new convenient functions to assist with reporting results, and a wrapper function to facilitate access from Stata.

Keywords: official statistics, survey statistics, small area estimation.

1. Introduction

The povmap package adds new features to the emdi package (Kreutzmann et al. (2019)) that are particularly appropriate for estimating headcount poverty rates and means. The name of the package is taken from the C-based Povmap software frequently used to generate poverty maps (Zhao (2006)). This vignette provides an overview of the additional features provided by **povmap**. The package adds two notable new features to the **emdi** package. The first is the ability to incorporate sample weights through the nlme package, which enables the use of weights when undertaking data-driven transformations. While initial explorations suggest that using the two types of weights give similar results, more exploration and investigation is needed to fully explore the use of nlme weights in the context of Empirical Best Predictor (EBP) models. The second main new feature is the incorporation of benchmarking. In response to the need for benchmarking in small area estimation, the ebp() function now includes additional arguments (benchmark, benchmark_level, and benchmark_type). These additions allow users to address both external and internal benchmarking problems for the mean and the head count ratio. Benchmarking, if selected, is also applied in the parametric bootstrap procedure used to estimate Mean Squared Error. Two additional options are provided to transform the dependent variable, in order to better meet the normality assumptions of the underlying model. Finally, several minor changes and functionalities are introduced that can be highly beneficial for practitioners, including several function to assist with reporting results and a convenient wrapper for Stata users.

2. Survey weights - new options

The standard version of the EBP assumes non-informative sampling, which means that the

inclusion probability of the sample is not linked to the outcome variable of interest. In most practical applications, informative sampling is present, and it is important to allow for weights when estimating the EBP model. In the **emdi** package, the argument **weights** gives users the possibility to include weights. The method of Guadarrama *et al.* (2018) is used and is implemented in the **R** package **emdi** (Skarke *et al.* 2023).

2.1. Survey weights with the povmap package

The povmap package offers, in addition to the methodology of Guadarrama et al. (2018), the possibility to adjust for informative sampling via the weights argument in the nlme package (Pinheiro et al. 2015). The well-known **nlme** package allows the estimation of linear mixed models via the function lme and is used in both the emdi and povmap package for the estimation of EBP models, which are special cases of a linear mixed model. The weights argument in the lme command provides an alternative method to incorporate survey weights to adjust for informative sampling. The **povmap** package now allows users to include weights via the lme function. There are two different possibilities to use the nlme package in this context: (1) to include weights when estimating the model parameters for the EBP (cf. step 2 in Kreutzmann et al. (2019) on page 7) or (2) when using data-driven transformations, using the weights both to select the optimal transformation parameter and for estimating the model (cf. step 1 in Kreutzmann et al. (2019) on page 7). This selection is now enabled with the argument weights_type in the povmap package. The default are the inclusion of weights following Guadarrama et al. (2018) ("Guadarrama"). If "nlme" is selected, weights are included within the lme function for estimating the linear-mixed model for the EBP. If "nlme lambda" is selected, weights are included both when estimating the linear mixed model and when estimating the data-driven parameter for the transformation. In both cases, each residual is assumed to have variance equal to the inverse of the weight for that observation, so that each observation is weighted using its specified weight.

For all three weight options, the estimated shrinkage factor γ_{iw} takes the weights into account, using the formula:

$$\gamma_{iw} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_u^2}{\hat{\sigma}_u^2 + \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2 \delta_{iw}^2}$$

where

$$\delta_{iw}^2 = \frac{\sum_{j \in i} w_j^2}{(\sum_{j \in i} w_j)^2}$$

and $\hat{\sigma}_u^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2$ are the estimated variance components of the area effect and idiosyncratic error term. w_j is the weight assigned to unit j located in target area i. When no weights are specified, $w_j = 1$ for all units j, and this reduces to:

$$\gamma_{iw} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_u^2}{\hat{\sigma}_u^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2}{N_i}}$$

Where N_i is the number of units in target area i. The main advantage of the **nmle**-type integration of informative sampling as implemented in the **povmap** package is that it is compatible with all transformations. In contrast, the version with the "Guadarrama"-weights is

only compatible with no transformation or the log transformation. A second difference between the methods is that when using nlme weights, the variance component estimates $\hat{\sigma}_u^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2$ are estimated using a weighted linear mixed model.

2.2. Functionality

Model estimation

To demonstrate the functionalities of the packages we show all three types of weights. First, load the data.

```
R> library("povmap")
R> # Load sample data set
R> data("eusilcA_smp")
R> data('eusilcA_pop')
```

For comparison, we will first show the Guadarrama *et al.* (2018) informative sampling under the log transformation and also perform the **nlme**-version with log transformation.

```
R> emdi_model_Guadarrama <- ebp(</pre>
+
    fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
      unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
+
      fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
    pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
+
    smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district", weights = "weight",
+
    weights_type = "Guadarrama", transformation = "log", na.rm = TRUE
+
+ )
R> emdi_model_nlme_log <- ebp(</pre>
    fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
+
      unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
+
      fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
+
    pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
    smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district", weights = "weight",
    weights_type = "nlme", transformation = "log", na.rm = TRUE
+
+ )
```

We further want to compare the two **nlme**-versions under the box-cox transformation. weights_type "Guadarrama" can only be selected with log and no transformation. Thus, under the Box-Cox transformation, only the weights_type "nlme" or "nlme_lambda" is possible.

```
R> emdi_model_nlme_bc <- ebp(
+ fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
+ unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
+ fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+ pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",</pre>
```

```
smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
+
    weights = "weight", weights_type = "nlme", na.rm = TRUE
+
+ )
R> emdi model nlme lambda <- ebp(
    fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
+
+
      unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
      fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
+
    pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
+
    smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
    weights = "weight", weights_type = "nlme_lambda", na.rm = TRUE
+
+ )
```

Estimation results

The results can be called with the estimator function as in emdi.

R> head(estimators(emdi_model_Guadarrama, indicator = "Mean"))

	Domain	Mean				
1	Eisenstadt-Umgebung	30926.73				
2	Eisenstadt (Stadt)	94261.32				
3	Güssing	17008.43				
4	Jennersdorf	13281.90				
5	Mattersburg	21830.83				
6	Neusiedl am See	19492.90				
R	> head(estimators(emo	li_model_nlme Mean	_log,	indicato)r =	"Mean"))
1	Eisenstadt-Umgebung	31071.60				
2	Eisenstadt (Stadt)	98082.34				
3	Güssing	16990.86				
4	Jennersdorf	13263.20				
5	Mattersburg	21922.16				
6	Neusiedl am See	19443.56				

A comparison between the two different approaches to weighting is possible under the log transformation. In the example here, the two differ only very slightly with a median relative bias of 0.34%.

And now the results under box Cox transformation:

R> head(estimators(emdi_model_nlme_bc, indicator = "Mean"))

Domain Mean 1 Eisenstadt-Umgebung 28253.88

4

```
2 Eisenstadt (Stadt) 55696.54
3 Güssing 17192.22
4 Jennersdorf 13106.49
5 Mattersburg 21593.67
6 Neusiedl am See 19083.43
```

```
R> head(estimators(emdi_model_nlme_lambda, indicator = "Mean"))
```

		Dor	nain	Mean
1	Eisenstadt-U	Jmgel	oung	28252.67
2	Eisenstadt	(Sta	adt)	55547.81
3		Güss	sing	17200.02
4	Jenr	nerso	lorf	13098.56
5	Matt	erst	ourg	21603.73
6	Neusiedl	am	See	19082.95

A more detailed investigation of which specification is to be recommended requires extensive simulation studies. Nevertheless, the results differ only slightly, which is reflected in a low median of the relative bias of 0.05%.

3. Benchmarking

In small area estimation, the model-based small area estimates need not match the direct survey estimate for a higher area. This can be concerning if the sample size for the higher area is large enough that the direct estimate is considered reliable and has official status. To address these issues, benchmarking is used, which involves calibrating individual area-level estimates so that they aggregate to match the direct estimates for a higher area.

There are two types of benchmarking problems: external and internal. External benchmarking calibrates survey estimates to match estimates from external data sources. Internal benchmarking involves calibrating small area estimates to higher-level aggregates, such as regional or national totals obtained from the same survey.

Until now, benchmarking has been offered in the emdi package for the fh() function. The function ebp() now includes the additional arguments benchmark to enter an external benchmark value, benchmark_level to set the level for benchmarking, and benchmark_type to specify the type of benchmarking and benchmark_weights to allow users to specify weights to calculate benchmarking that differ from the survey weights.

3.1. Methodology

The idea of benchmarking is that the aggregated small area estimates from the EBP, weighted by population, should sum up to estimates of a higher regional level that are assumed to be reliable (Datta *et al.* 2011; Bell *et al.* 2013; Pfeffermann *et al.* 2014). If this value is one global one (τ) it follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} \xi_i \hat{I}_i^{bench} = \tau,$$

where ξ_i stands for the share of the population size of each area in the total population size (N_i/N) . This formula changes to

$$\sum_{i \in k} \xi_{ki} \hat{I}_i^{bench} = \tau_k,$$

if there are k = 1, ..., K higher level domains for benchmarking to. Therefore, K values for benchmarking (τ_k) are needed and ξ_{ki} stands for the share of the population size of each area in k (N_i/N_k) . If population weights are provided as an argument in the ebp() function, the previously described formula will be modified. In this case, the value of ξ_{ki} is calculated as the ratio of the summed population weights in *i* regarding *k*. Therefore, the resulting formula is $\xi_{ki} = \sum_{h \in i} pw_h / \sum_{h \in k} pw_h$, where pw_h is the population weight of unit *h*.

To calculated the benchmark values, three different methods (raking, ratio, and ratio_complement) are available within the ebp() function. For raking and the ratio methods, the estimates are adjusted according to

$$\hat{I}_i^{bench} = \hat{I}_i + \left(\sum_{i \in k} \xi_{ki} \frac{\xi_{ki}}{\phi_{ki}}\right)^{-1} \left(\tau_k - \sum_{i \in k} \xi_{ki} \hat{I}_i\right) \frac{\xi_{ki}}{\phi_{ki}}.$$

For raking, all small area estimates (\hat{I}_i) are adjusted by the same value. Therefore, ϕ_{ki} equals ξ_{ki} . If a ratio adjustment (ratio) is selected, $\phi_{ki} = \xi_{ki}/\hat{I}_i$. Hence, large estimates are corrected more than smaller ones. In the ratio case, the equation above is equivalent to the simpler formula:

$$\hat{I}_i^{bench} = \hat{I}_i \frac{\tau_k}{\sum_{i \in k} \xi_{ki} \hat{I}_i}$$

When estimating headcount poverty rates, \hat{I}_i^{bench} is guaranteed to be positive. However, \hat{I}_i^{bench} can exceed 1 in cases where $\tau_k > \sum_{i \in k} \xi_{ki} \hat{I}_i$. We therefore offer the ratio_complement method, which adjusts the estimated share of the population that is not poor by a constant fraction to ensure consistency with the survey.

$$\hat{I}_{i}^{bench} = 1 - \left((1 - \hat{I}_{i}) \frac{(1 - \tau_{k})}{\sum_{i \in k} \xi_{ki} (1 - \hat{I}_{i})}) \right)$$

This method also ensures that the estimated poverty rates are consistent with the survey estimates at the higher level. While the resulting estimates can be negative, they cannot exceed one. They therefore provide an alternative when headcount estimates using ratio benchmarking exceed one.

Because the literature only discusses benchmarking for linear indicators, we only offer benchmarking for the two indicators 'Mean' and 'Head_Count'. The adjustment shown here is also applied within the MSE bootstrap procedure, so that an MSE can also be obtained for these adjusted estimators.

For internal benchmarking, the survey data is used to automatically calculate direct estimates (Horvitz and Thompson 1952) for benchmarking. Therefore, survey weights must be available and specified in the argument weights. When the benchmark weights option is not specified, the specified survey weights are assumed to be the benchmark weights.

3.2. Functionality

The following three arguments have been added to the ebp() function so that benchmarking can be performed with different options.

Arguments	Short description	Default
benchmark	For external benchmarking: benchmark value(s)	NULL
	(a named numeric vector, or a data.frame)	
	For internal benchmarking: a vector containing the name of	
	the indicators to be benchmarked (i.e. c("Mean", "Head_Count"))	
<pre>benchmark_type</pre>	Type of benchmarking	ratio
benchmark_level	The name of the domain variable for the benchmark level,	NULL
	if benchmarking to multiple levels instead of globally	
benchmark_weights	The name of variable containing benchmark weights. This	NULL
	is only possible for internal benchmarking and enable users	
	to benchmark with weights that differ from the survey weights	
	(Default for internal benchmarking).	

$Model\ estimation$

To demonstrate the functionalities of the package, we show examples of both external and internal benchmarking

External benchmark An external benchmark value comes from another data source and is considered reliable such as a value published by a statistical office. More than one value can be specified in the ebp() function. If there are several levels in the data, values can also be supplied for a higher level as the small area estimates level for benchmarking.

```
R> library("povmap")
R> # Load sample data set
R> data("eusilcA_smp")
R> data('eusilcA_pop')
```

The following lines add a global benchmark value for the head count ratio to the ebp() function otherwise this call almost equals the shown example Kreutzmann *et al.* (2019):

The method used here for the inclusion of benchmarking is "ratio".

To add external benchmark values a data.frame must be supplied via the benchmark argument which, in addition to the benchmark values, also contains the names of the benchmark domains. Therefore, the additional argument benchmark_level is needed to specify the variable name of the benchmark level within the sample and population data.

```
R> median state <- tapply(eusilcA smp$eqIncome, eusilcA smp$state, median)
R> benchmark_table <- data.frame(state = names(median_state), Mean = median_state)
R> ebp_bench_external_state <- ebp(</pre>
+
    fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl + unempl_ben +
+
      age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent + fam_allow +
      house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
+
    pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
    smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
+
    na.rm = TRUE, benchmark = benchmark_table, benchmark_type = "ratio",
+
    benchmark_level = "state")
+
```

Internal benchmark For internal benchmarking no benchmark value has to be supplied. The sample data itself is used to benchmark the small area estimates (i) to a global value or (ii) to a higher geographic level than the small area level. Within the argument benchmark the user must specify for which indicator ("Mean", "Head_Count" or both) benchmarking should be carried out. Please note, the argument weights is needed to do internal benchmarking, because the results are benchmarked to weighted sample means. To do benchmarking on higher domain level the argument benchmark_level is used. The option benchmark_weights allows the user to specify a set of weights used for benchmarking that differs from the use of sample weights. This can be useful if, for example, the sample weights are normalized to give each target area equal weight, in which case benchmark_weights can specify the non-normalized original survey weights.

```
R> ebp_bench_internal_state <- ebp(</pre>
   fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl + unempl_ben +
+
      age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent + fam_allow +
+
      house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
   pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
+
   smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
+
   weights = "weight", weights_type = "nlme",
+
   na.rm = TRUE, benchmark = c("Mean"), benchmark_type = "ratio",
+
+
   benchmark_level = "state", MSE = TRUE)
```

Estimation results

External benchmark For the global external benchmark, the following results are obtained and it can be easily checked that the benchmarking leads to the correct value.

8

```
R> head(estimators(ebp_bench_external, indicator = "Mean_bench"))
```

	Domain	Mean_bench
1	Eisenstadt-Umgebung	27804.23
2	Eisenstadt (Stadt)	54230.22
3	Güssing	17373.81
4	Jennersdorf	13546.48
5	Mattersburg	21488.25
6	Neusiedl am See	19208.26
R>	sum(ebp_bench_exten	cnal\$ind\$Mean_bench *
+	table(ebp_bend	ch_external\$framework\$pop_domains_vec)/
+	ebp_bench_extern	nal\$framework\$N_pop)
[1] 20140.09	

R> mean(eusilcA_smp\$eqIncome)

[1] 20140.09

The example that benchmarks the results to a higher domain level above the small area estimates leads to the following results.

R> head(estimators(ebp_bench_external_state, indicator = "Mean_bench"))

	Domain	Mean_bench
1	Eisenstadt-Umgebung	21692.41
2	Eisenstadt (Stadt)	42309.54
3	Güssing	3 13554.76
4	Jennersdorf	10568.75
5	Mattersburg	16764.78
6	Neusiedl am See	14985.97

Internal benchmark For the internal benchmarking at the state level the following results are obtained.

R> head(estimators(ebp_bench_internal_state, indicator = c("Mean", "Mean_bench")))

	Domain	Mean	Mean_bench
1	Eisenstadt-Umgebung	28253.88	22881.16
2	Eisenstadt (Stadt)	55696.54	45105.37
3	Güssing	17192.22	13922.97
4	Jennersdorf	13106.49	10614.18
5	Mattersburg	21593.67	17487.45
6	Neusiedl am See	19083.43	15454.56

If the goal is to compare the benchmarked value to the direct estimator, 'Mean_bench' or 'Head_Count_bench' must be added manually to the direct estimator. This value corresponds to the 'Mean' or the 'Head_Count'.

```
R> emdi_direct <- direct(
+ y = "eqIncome", smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
+ weights = "weight", var = TRUE, boot_type = "naive", B = 50, na.rm = TRUE)
R> emdi_direct$ind$Mean_bench <- emdi_direct$ind$Mean
R> emdi_direct$MSE$Mean_bench <- emdi_direct$MSE$Mean
R> compare_plot(ebp_bench_internal_state, direct = emdi_direct,
+ CV = TRUE, indicator = "Mean_bench")
```

Not all domains contained in the model estimation have been found in the direct estimation. Following plots will only contain results for estimates available in both objects.



Figure 1: Output compare_plot()

4. Further transformations

The linear mixed models used by **povmap** assume that the area effect and residual are distributed normally. However, if the dependent variable in the model is skewed, this assumption will likely not hold in practice. Therefore, transformations are required to make the distributions of the error term closer to normal. Two further transformation (the rank-order transformation and the arcsine transformation) are incorparted to the **ebp()** function of the **povmap** package.

The rank-order transformation is particularly useful when dealing with non-normally distributed data or outliers. By converting the original values into their corresponding ranks, the transformed data can exhibit a more symmetrical distribution. Masaki *et al.* (2020) uses the rank-order transformation to make the distributions of the error term closer to normal and reduce discrepancies between official national poverty rates and the small area estimates. We use the procedure included in the **bestNormalize** package (Peterson and Cavanaugh 2019) to back-transform the rank-order transformation, using linear interpolation within the range of the data and a shifted approximation to extrapolate outside the range of the data. More research is needed to verify that this approach works well when estimating means.

Similarly, the arcsine transformation serves as a valuable tool when analysing proportions or percentages. As proportions are bounded by 0 and 1, their distribution can deviate from normality. The arcsine transformation, which applies the inverse sine function to the square root of the proportion $(y_{ij}^* = \sin^{-1}(\sqrt{y_{ij}}))$, can stabilize the variance and improve the distributional properties of the data.

The ebp() function transform the data, calculate the linear mixed model on the transformed data, and back-transform the data to the original scale to estimate the poverty indicators

4.1. Functionality

In the following, we will show how the additional transformations can be used in the ebp() function of package **povmap**. The argument **transformation** is determining the chosen transformation. In the **povmap** package following options are available:

- no, log, box.cox, dual, log.shift as in the emdi package
- ordernorm: rank-order transformation using the **bestNormalize** package (Peterson and Cavanaugh 2019)
- arcsin: arcsine transformation for proportions

rank-order transformation

The ordernorm transformation can be directly applied in estimating poverty indicators from equivalent income using the ebp() function. The distribution of equivalent income exhibits clear outliers. The ordernorm transformation helps to better meet the normality assumptions of the errors in the estimation process. In the following, the ebp() function is performed without transformation and with ordernorm transformation to enable a comparison.

```
R> ebp_no <- ebp(
+ fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +</pre>
```

```
+
      unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
      fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
+
   pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
+
    smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
   na.rm = TRUE, transformation = "no"
+
+ )
> ebp_ordernorm <- ebp(</pre>
      fixed = eqIncome ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
+
           unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
+
           fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
      pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
+
      smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
+
      na.rm = TRUE, transformation = "ordernorm"
+
+ )
```

The **bestNormalize** package (Peterson and Cavanaugh 2019) provides also the back-transformation **inv_ordernorm**, which is needed to make the results interpretable at the target level. During the execution of the transformation, a warning message is generated if any values fall outside the original range (of the initial data) during the inverse transformation (Peterson and Cavanaugh 2019). The warning message will indicate the number of values that exceed the original value range.

Overall, the rank-order transformation helps to preserve the normality assumptions for the error terms. By using functions like summary() or qqnorm(), information about the distributions of both errors can be obtained.

R> summary(ebp_no)\$normality

 Skewness
 Kurtosis
 Shapiro_W
 Shapiro_p

 Error
 2.40813
 26.206861
 0.8806197
 2.841389e-36

 Random_effect
 1.18355
 4.098958
 0.8957952
 2.655502e-05

R> summary(ebp_ordernorm)\$normality

 Skewness Kurtosis Shapiro_W
 Shapiro_p

 Error
 -0.309379683
 4.324697
 0.9851796
 2.599253e-13

 Random_effect
 -0.004873077
 2.262509
 0.9859713
 6.252016e-01

Comparing the two outputs, it is immediately apparent that the normal distribution assumptions are better fulfilled by using of the **ordernorm** transformation. In this case the normally assumption on the random effects is not rejected and for the error term the skewness and kurtosis is reduced.

The QQ-plots show the same findings.

```
R> qqnorm(ebp_no)
R> qqnorm(ebp_ordernorm)
```





$arcsine \ transformation$

To demonstrate the arcsine transformation, an example percentage variable is created by calculating the household income share relative to the maximum income.

R> eusilcA_smp\$eqIncome_prop <- eusilcA_smp\$eqIncome / max(eusilcA_smp\$eqIncome)</pre>

Subsequently, the ebp() function is applied without and with the arcsine transformation.

R> ebp_no <- ebp(

```
fixed = eqIncome_prop ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
+
      unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
+
      fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
   pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
   smp data = eusilcA smp, smp domains = "district",
+
   na.rm = TRUE, transformation = "no"
+
+ )
R> ebp_arcsin <- ebp(</pre>
   fixed = eqIncome_prop ~ gender + eqsize + cash + self_empl +
+
      unempl_ben + age_ben + surv_ben + sick_ben + dis_ben + rent +
+
      fam_allow + house_allow + cap_inv + tax_adj,
+
   pop_data = eusilcA_pop, pop_domains = "district",
+
   smp_data = eusilcA_smp, smp_domains = "district",
+
    transformation = "arcsin", na.rm = TRUE
+ )
```

Overall, the arcsine transformation helps to make the distribution of the error term more normal. By using functions like summary() or qqnorm(), information about the distributions of both errors can be obtained.

R> summary(ebp_no)\$normality

 Skewness
 Kurtosis
 Shapiro_W
 Shapiro_p

 Error
 2.40813
 26.206861
 0.8806197
 2.841389e-36

 Random_effect
 1.18355
 4.098958
 0.8957952
 2.655502e-05

```
R> summary(ebp_arcsin)$normality
```

SkewnessKurtosisShapiro_WShapiro_pError1.501351219.2586560.92242221.544219e-30Random_effect0.53652063.1053180.97519561.787370e-01

All normal assumptions on the errors are rejected in all settings. However, the skewness and kurtosis improves by applying the arcsin transformation. The QQ-plots show this graphically.

R> qqnorm(ebp_no)
R> qqnorm(ebp_arcsin)



Figure 3: Output qqnorm() for using no transformation (a) and the arcsin transformation (b)

5. Further arguments for the ebp function

5.1. nlme control options

The ebp() function utilizes the nlme package for estimating linear mixed models. Specifically, the ebp() function relies on the lme function within nlme to perform its computations. The lme function allows you to set optimization and convergence values using the nlmeControl parameter. By modifying the control values manually, you can prevent issues such as non-convergence within the lme function, which may occur when the maximum number of itera-

tions (maxiter) is reached. This can occur when estimating Mean Squared Error using the parametric bootstrap, even in cases where the model can be estimated using the sample data.

Three main arguments of the nlmeControl function are directly offered to users as additional arguments within the ebp() function. The following table provides an overview of these three new arguments.

Argument	Description	Default
nlme_maxiter	Specifies the maximum number of iterations allowed for con-	1000
	vergence. If the maximum number of iterations is reached	
	without convergence, the algorithm stops. By adjusting this	
	argument, you can control the maximum iterations for the	
	<pre>lme() function within ebp().</pre>	
nlme_tolerance	Sets the tolerance level for convergence. Convergence is con-	1e-6
	sidered achieved when the change in the estimated param-	
	eters falls below this tolerance value. Modifying this argu-	
	ment allows you to influence the convergence criteria for the	
	<pre>lme() function within ebp().</pre>	
nlme_opt	Specifies the optimizer to be used, either 'nlminb' or 'optim'.	"nlminb"
	Using 'optim' can occasionally avoid false convergence errors	
	in the parametric bootstrap procedure.	

These additional arguments provide flexibility and control over the convergence behavior of the ebp() function, ensuring that you can tailor the estimation process to your specific needs.

5.2. Rescaling of weights

The argument rescale_weights (default FALSE) gives the user the option to decide if the weights should be scaled to a mean weight of 1 within each target domain. If rescale_weights is TRUE, the weights for each target area sum to the sample size, corresponding to "Method 2" in Pfeffermann *et al.* (1998). The decision to rescale or not to rescale the weights directly influences the results of the ebp() function. You will find an overview of weighting for linear mixed models in Pfeffermann *et al.* (1998); Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2006).

5.3. Ydump

The argument Ydump (default NULL) gives the user the option to output the simulated values for the dependent variable and its components to a .csv file. The user should specify the name of the csv file, including the .csv extension and optionally the path, in the Ydump argument. The output file contains N*L rows, where N is the number of population units and L is the number of replications, and six columns:

- 1. L, ranging from 1 to L, indicating the replication number
- 2. Domain, indicating the target domain
- 3. Simulated_Y, indicating the simulated value of the indicator after back-transformation to the original scale
- 4. XBetahat, indicating the fixed effect portion of the prediction in the transformed scale.

- 5. eta, the randomly drawn area effect in the transformed scale
- 6. epsilon, the randomly drawn idiosyncratic error term in the transformed scale

Currently this option is only available for the L replications used to generate the point estimates. Even if MSE is set to TRUE, values drawn for the parametric bootstrap replications will not be included.

6. New functionalities for a user-friendly reporting of results

To enhance user-friendliness, the **povmap** package offers new functionalities, particularly focusing on the head count ratio. Furthermore, reports can be generated providing information on the estimators and their corresponding coefficient of variation (CV), as well as the underlying sample and population data. Additionally, details about the linear mixed model used (coefficients, model fit) in the ebp() object are directly outputted. A table comparing different CVs can be created directly. This table includes the CV for the head count ratio estimated using the ebp() function, as well as three different types of CVs for the corresponding direct estimator, which arise from different approaches to MSE estimation. In addition, a new argument has been added to the direct() function, allowing for the determination of Horvitz-Thompson variance approximation. For a more detailed description of this method for variance estimation, see Marhuenda *et al.* (2013).

Function	Description
ebp_reportdescriptives	Report descriptive statistics
	• CV (for head count ratio)
	• basic informations on survey and population data
	• national poverty rates and lines (survey vs. popula- tion)
ebp_test_means	Weighted means for the input variables (typically auxiliary
	variables of the ebp) for the survey and population data \rightarrow Comparison using test of difference
abn ranortcoaf tabla	User-friendly output of the coefficients of the linear mixed
eph_reborccoer_rapre	regression model with standard errors
ebp_report_byrank	Produce report tables that rank head count estimates either
	by population of poor or the head count rates themselves in
	descending order
ebp_compute_cv	Estimates three different types of CVs for the head count
	ratio for direct estimates:
	 CV using calibrated/naive bootstrapping of the MSE CV using Horowitz Thompson variance estimation
	technique to compute MSE
	• CV using design effect adjusted naive calibrated MSE
	These functions also return the direct and model-based
	headcount rates, as well as the CV for the model-based head-
	count estimates .
ebp_normalityfit	Table showing marginal R-square, conditional R-squared as
	well as the skewness and kurtosis of the random and idiosyn-
	cratic error terms

7. Stata integration of povmap

For users that are more comfortable working in Stata than R, the **povmap** package includes Rpovmap.ado and Rpovmap.hlp files, which run **povmap** from within Stata. Rpovmap.ado creates and executes an R script called povmap.R from within Stata. This script loads previously saved population and sample .dta files into R and calls the ebp function in **povmap** with specified options. The results are saved in an Excel spreadsheet and optionally an R object, which can be loaded in R for further analysis as desired.

Rpovmap.ado requires the following:

- 1. R to be installed.
- 2. The haven and povmap packages to be installed into R. These can be installed using the install.packages("haven") and install.packages("povmap") commands in R. If the devtools package is installed and loaded into memory, povmap can also be installed directly from Github using install_github("SSA-Statistical-Team-Projects/povmap").
- 3. The Rscript package to be installed in Stata, by typing ssc install rscript in Stata.

4. The Rpovmap.ado and Rpovmap.hlp to be present in either Stata's current directory, or in a Stata-recognized ado directory (typing cd in Stata will show the current directory, and typing adopath will show the location of the Stata ado directories)

Sample data eusilcA_smp.dta and euslicA.dta are included in the package. These were created using the write_dta function in the haven package, using the following R code:

```
R> library(haven)
R> data("eusilcA_pop")
R> data("eusilcA_smp")
R> write_dta(data=eusilcA_pop,path="eusilcA_pop")
R> write_dta(data=eusilcA_smp,path="eusilcA_smp")
```

These can be used to replicate the analysis in section 2.2 of this vignette, using the following command within Stata.

```
Rpovmap eqIncome gender eqsize cash self_emp unempl_ben age_ben surv_ben
sick_ben dis_ben rent fam_allow house_allow cap_inv tax_adj,
pop_data(eusilcA_pop.dta) smp_data(eusilcA_smp.dta)
smp_domains(district) pop_domains(district) weights(weight)
weights_type(Guadarrama) transformation(log) na_rm(TRUE)
saveobject(emdi_model_Guadarrama) savexls(emdi_model_Guadarrama.xlsx)
```

This command produces two output files in the current folder: emdi_model_Guadarrama.xlsx and the saved R object emdi_model_Guadarrama, which contains the emdi object ebp_results. The files can also be saved in a directory specified by the user as part of the string in the savexls and saveobject options.

The saveobject option is recommended to allow for further analysis from within R. For example, after using the setwd() function to set the current directory in R to the folder in which emdi_model_Guadarrama was saved, executing:

```
R> load("emdi_model_Guadarrama")
R> ebp_reportcoef_table(ebp_results)
```

displays model coefficients in R.

To analyze the results in Stata, use the import excel command to load the saved estimates.

```
. import excel using "emdi_model_Guadarrama", sheet("Point Estimators")
firstrow clear
. list Domain Mean in 1/5, clean noobs
```

Domain	Mean
Eisenstadt-Umgebung	30926.729
Eisenstadt (Stadt)	94261.315
Güssing	17008.432
Jennersdorf	13281.905
Mattersburg	21830.831

Rpovmap treats all labeled variables in the sample and population data as factor variables, using the **as_factor** function in the **haven** package. In this example, the gender variable takes on values of 1 or 2 i the data, but is appropriately treated as a factor variable instead of a continuous variable in model estimation.

Typing help Rpovmap from within Stata will load the help file listing the full set of options, which mirror those in the R povmap package.

8. Conclusion

This vignette has presented the new functionalities of the **povmap** package compared to the **emdi** package. These functionalities include options for incorporating sample weights, benchmarking, additional transformations, additional arguments for the ebp() function, user-friendly output options, and a convenient wrapper for Stata users.

Acknowledgments

The team gratefully acknowledges funding from the Knowledge for Change Program's phase-IV programmatic research project "Understanding Trends in Sub-National Differences in Economic Well-Being in Low and Middle- Income Countries". We thank Jed Friedman, Haishan Fu, Keith Garrett, Talip Kilic, Pierella Paci, and Utz Pape for support.

References

- Bell WR, Datta GS, Ghosh M (2013). "Benchmarking small area estimators." *Biometrika*, **100**(1), 189–202.
- Datta G, Ghosh M, Steorts R, Maples J (2011). "Bayesian benchmarking with applications to small area estimation." *Test*, **20**, 574–588.
- Guadarrama M, Molina I, Rao J (2018). "Small area estimation of general parameters under complex sampling designs." Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, **121**, 20–40.
- Horvitz D, Thompson D (1952). "A Generalization of Sampling Without Replacement from a Finite Universe." Journal of the American Statistical Association, 47(260), 663–685. doi:10.1080/01621459.1952.10483446.
- Kreutzmann AK, Pannier S, Rojas-Perilla N, Schmid T, Templ M, Tzavidis N (2019). "The R Package emdi for Estimating and Mapping Regionally Disaggregated Indicators." *Journal* of Statistical Software, 91(7), 1–33. doi:10.18637/jss.v091.i07.
- Marhuenda Y, Molina I, Morales D (2013). "Small Area Estimation with Spatio-Temporal Fay-Herriot Models." Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 58, 308–325. doi: 10.1016/j.csda.2012.09.002.
- Masaki T, Newhouse D, Silwal AR, Bedada A, Engstrom R (2020). "Small area estimation of non-monetary poverty with geospatial data." *Statistical Journal of the IAOS*, **38**(3), 1035–1051.
- Peterson RA, Cavanaugh JE (2019). "Ordered quantile normalization: a semiparametric transformation built for the cross-validation era." *Journal of applied statistics*.
- Pfeffermann D, Sikov A, Tiller R (2014). "Single-and two-stage cross-sectional and time series benchmarking procedures for small area estimation." *Test*, **23**, 631–666.
- Pfeffermann D, Skinner CJ, Holmes DJ, Goldstein H, Rasbash J (1998). "Weighting for unequal selection probabilities in multilevel models." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: series B (statistical methodology)*, **60**(1), 23–40.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team (2015). "nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models." *R package version 3.1-122*. URL https://cran.r-project.org/ web/packages/nlme/index.html.
- Rabe-Hesketh S, Skrondal A (2006). "Multilevel modelling of complex survey data." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society, **169**(4), 805–827.
- Skarke F, Kreutzmann AK, Würz N (2023). Extensions to the ebp function in the R package emdi. R package Vignette, URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emdi.
- Zhao Q (2006). "User manual for povmap." World Bank. http://siteresources. worldbank. org/INTPGI/Resources/342674-1092157888460/Zhao_ ManualPovMap. pdf.

Affiliation:

Ifeanyi Edochie, David Newhouse World Bank Group 1818 H Street NW, Washington DC, 20433 E-mail: iedochie@worldbank.org, dnewhouse@worldbank.org

Timo Schmid, Nora Würz Chair of Statistics and Econometrics Faculty of Social and Economic Sciences Otto Friedrich University Bamberg Feldkirchenstraße 21, 96045 Bamberg, Germany E-mail: timo.schmid@uni-bamberg.de, nora.wuerz@uni-bamberg.de

22