

BHMSMAfMRI User Guide

Nilotpal Sanyal

September 08, 2022

Contents

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Introduction and overview | 1 |
| 2 | Use of package functions with examples | 2 |
| 2.1 | The main function <code>BHMSMA</code> | 2 |
| 2.2 | Reading fMRI Data: <code>readfmridata</code> | 3 |
| 2.3 | Temporal modeling through GLM: <code>glmcoef</code> | 4 |
| 2.4 | Wavelet transform of the GLM coefficients: <code>waveletcoef</code> | 5 |
| 2.5 | Estimating the model hyperparameters: <code>hyperest</code> | 6 |
| 2.6 | Computing posterior distribution of the wavelet coefficients: <code>postmixprob</code> , <code>postwaveletcoef</code> | 7 |
| 2.7 | Computing posterior mean of the regression coefficients: <code>postglmcoef</code> | 8 |
| 2.8 | Posterior simulation and uncertainty estimation: <code>postsamples</code> | 9 |
| 2.9 | Posterior group estimates: <code>postgroupglmcoef</code> | 10 |
| | References | 11 |

Welcome to **BHMSMAfMRI**, an R package to analyze functional MRI (fMRI) data, or other multiscale data. This manual shows how the **BHMSMAfMRI** package functions are used to analyze fMRI data and should be helpful for the first-time user. In Section 1, we give a short introduction and non-mathematical overview of the methodology, and in Section 2, we discuss the package functions in a systematic way and apply them to analyze a simulated fMRI dataset.

1 Introduction and overview

The **BHMSMAfMRI** package performs Bayesian hierarchical multi-subject multiscale analysis (BHMSMA) of fMRI data ([sanyal:ferreira:2012?](#)), or other multiscale data. Though fMRI data is generally 3D, currently **BHMSMAfMRI** considers analysis of 2D slices only.

The main features of the BHMSMA method are that:

- it takes into account both the temporal and the spatial information contained in the fMRI data,
- it performs multi-subject analysis and borrows strength across subjects for precise estimation of the effect sizes (i.e., brain activations), and provides a straightforward way to obtain group activation map, and
- it does not use Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation and is fast.

BHMSMA models the temporal variation present in the fMRI data through a general linear model (GLM) and then considers discrete wavelet transform of the standardized regression coefficients for harnessing the spatial information. In the wavelet domain, each wavelet coefficient is assigned a mixture prior that is a combination of a Gaussian density and a point mass at zero. This prior specification takes into account the sparsity of the wavelet coefficients. For the mixture probabilities BHMSMA considers a prior that depends on few hyperparameters. Inference is carried out by an empirical Bayes methodology without using MCMC methods. The inference uses approximation of one-dimensional integrals only. The posterior mean of the regression coefficients are obtained by using the posterior mean of the wavelet coefficients in the inverse discrete wavelet transform. Further, the posterior wavelet coefficients are averaged over subjects and used in the inverse discrete wavelet transform to obtain posterior group estimate of the regression coefficients. Posterior uncertainty is assessed based on simulations from the posterior distribution of the regression coefficients.

The **BHMSMAfMRI** package fits the BHMSMA model to the fMRI data and provides estimates of the hyperparameters along with their standard error, posterior mean of the wavelet coefficients, posterior mean of the regression coefficients, samples from the posterior distribution of the regression coefficients and posterior group estimate of the regression coefficients. The posterior samples can be used to compute the estimates of posterior standard deviation and posterior probability maps.

2 Use of package functions with examples

In this section we illustrate the use of the package functions. We assume that prior to applying our methodology, the fMRI data have been preprocessed for necessary corrections like realignment or motion correction, slice-timing correction, coregistration with anatomical image and normalization. However, the data *must not be* spatially filtered before applying BHMSMA, because our approach is to include the spatial information into modeling instead of filtering it out. Preprocessing can be performed by using available softwares/packages like SPM (Friston et al. 2007), BrainVoyager (Goebel, Esposito, and Formisano 2006), AFNI (Cox 1996), and FSL (Smith et al. 2004). In the following subsections, we show the use of the package functions in a systematic way.

2.1 The main function BHMSMA

BHMSMA is the main function of the **BHMSMAfMRI** package. BHMSMA accepts fMRI data as a 4D array. The data can be imported from various image files by the `readfmridata` function. BHMSMA successively calls the following functions to perform the whole analysis — `glmcoef`

to obtain regression coefficient map of the regressor of interest, `waveletcoef` to perform 2D wavelet transformation of the regression coefficient map, `hyperparamest` to obtain estimates of the BHMSMA model hyperparameters, `postmixprob` to obtain estimates of the mixture probabilities that define posterior distribution of the wavelet coefficients, `postwaveletcoef` to obtain the posterior estimates of the wavelet coefficients and finally `postglmcoef` to obtain the posterior smoothed version of the regression coefficient map. If true coefficients are given, BHMSMA also returns mean squared error (MSE) estimates. Here is a quick look at the usage and outputs of the function.

```
library(BHMSMAfMRI)
BHMSMAmulti <- BHMSMA(n, grid, data, designmat, k, "multi", truecoef)
names(BHMSMAmulti)
[1] "GLMCoefStandardized"      "GLMCoefSE"
[3] "WaveletCoefficientMatrix"  "hyperparam"
[5] "hyperparamVar"            "posteriorMixProb"
[7] "Waveletcoefposterior"     "GLMcoefposterior"
```

The dimension of `data` is `c(n,grid,grid,ntime)` where `n` is the number of subjects, `grid^2` is the total number of voxels in the data and `ntime` is the length of time-series for each voxel. The argument `k` selects the regressor of interest from the design matrix `designmat` which can have multiple regressor columns. Following sections break down the whole analysis shown above by showing specific uses and outputs of all the above functions and several others of the **BHMSMAfMRI** package.

2.2 Reading fMRI Data: `readfmridata`

The function `readfmridata` can read fMRI data file(s) stored in ANALYZE format (`.img/.hdr` files), NIFTI format (`.img/.hdr` files or `.nii` files) or AFNI format (`.BRIK/.HEAD` files). The reading of the fMRI data files is done using R package **oro.nifti** (Whitcher, Schmid, and Thornton 2011), which is loaded when **BHMSMAfMRI** package is loaded.

For illustration, we consider a simulated fMRI dataset stored in ANALYZE format and provided within the **BHMSMAfMRI** package. The dataset contains noisy fMRI data collected over a 32×32 grid of a single axial brain slice and at 9 timepoints for 3 subjects. The following code illustrates how the function `readfmridata` can be used to import the data from the image files. The simulated dataset is extracted in the directory `fmridata` within the R temporary folder.

```
library(BHMSMAfMRI)
fpath <- system.file("extdata", package="BHMSMAfMRI")
untar(paste0(fpath,"/fmridata.tar"), exdir=tempdir())
n <- 3
grid <- 32
ntime <- 9
data <- array(dim=c(n,grid,grid,ntime))
for(subject in 1:n)
```

```

{
  directory <- paste0(tempdir(),"/fmridata","/s0",subject,"/")
  a <- readfmridata(directory, format="Analyze",
    prefix=paste0("s0",subject,"_t"), nimages=ntime, dim.image=c(grid,grid,1))
  data[subject,,] <- a[,1,]
}
dim(a)

## [1] 32 32 1 9

```

The above code reads all the data files for all subjects into a 4D array `data`. For each subject, the data were generated by adding Gaussian random noise to the true regression coefficient image with activation in 3 regions. The positions of 2 activation regions were varied across subject. The underlying design was a block design. The true regression coefficient images and the design matrix are also included in the package and can be read as follows.

```

data(fmridata)
names(fmridata)

## [1] "grid"          "nsubject"       "TrueCoeff"     "DesignMatrix"

truecoef <- fmridata$TrueCoeff
designmat <- fmridata$DesignMatrix
dim(truecoef)

## [1] 3 32 32

dim(designmat)

## [1] 9 2

```

Now, we have `truecoef` which is an array of dimension (3, 32, 32) containing the true regression coefficients, `data` which is an array of dimension (3, 32, 32, 9) containing time series of noisy observations for all the subjects and `designmat` which is the design matrix used to generate the data. Note that, the R package **neuRosim** (Welvaert et al. 2011) can be used to generate fMRI data.

```

par(mfrow=c(1,n), cex=1)
for(subject in 1:n)
  image(truecoef[subject,,], main=paste0("Subject ",subject),
    col=heat.colors(8))

```

2.3 Temporal modeling through GLM: `glmcoef`

Now, we fit a general linear model to the time series of each voxel and obtain the estimated regression coefficients for all the regressors included in `designmat` by using the function `glmcoef` as follows.




Figure 1: True regression coefficient images for the 3 subjects

```
glmmap <- glmcoef(n, grid, data, designmat)
names(glmmap)
```

```
## [1] "GLMCoefStandardized" "GLMCoefSE"
```

```
dim(glmmap$GLMCoefStandardized)
```

```
## [1] 3 32 32 2
```

```
dim(glmmap$GLMCoefSE)
```

```
## [1] 3 32 32 2
```

The output `glmmap` contains the estimated standardized regression coefficients and their standard error estimates. From now on, we focus on the 2nd regressor as the regressor of interest. Figure 2, obtained by the following code, shows the images of its standardized regression coefficients estimates for the 3 subjects.

```
k <- 2
par(mfrow=c(1,n), cex=1)
for(subject in 1:n)
  image(abs(glmmap$GLMCoefStandardized[subject,,,k]), col=heat.colors(8),
        zlim=c(0,6), main=paste0("Subject ",subject))
```

2.4 Wavelet transform of the GLM coefficients: `waveletcoef`

Next, we apply the discrete wavelet transform to the standardized regression coefficient images of each subject. The wavelet transformation is performed by the using the R package `wavethresh` (Nason 2013), which is loaded when `BHMSMAfMRI` package is loaded.

The function `waveletcoef` returns the wavelet coefficients for the selected regressor for all the subjects as a matrix. Below it is illustrated.

```
wavecoefglmmap <- waveletcoef(n, grid, glmmap$GLMCoefStandardized[,,,k],
                             wave.family="DaubLeAsymm", filter.number=6, bc="periodic")
```




Figure 2: Standardized regression coefficient estimates images for the second regressor for all subjects

```
names(wavecoefglmmap)

## [1] "WaveletCoefficientMatrix"

dim(wavecoefglmmap$WaveletCoefficientMatrix)

## [1] 3 1023
```

In the wavelet transform, the user can choose the wavelet family (one of `DaubLeAsymm` and `DaubExPhase`), the number of vanishing moments (`filter.number`) and the boundary condition (`symmetric` or `periodic`) to be applied. For fMRI data, we recommend the use of Daubechies least asymmetric wavelet transform (`DaubLeAsymm`) with 6 vanishing moments and periodic boundary condition.

2.5 Estimating the model hyperparameters: `hyperest`

The BHMSMA model has six hyperparameters, which are estimated by their maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) following an empirical Bayes approach. We can estimate the hyperparameters by performing multi-subject analysis or single subject analysis. In multi-subject analysis, the likelihood function of the hyperparameters is constructed over all subjects and maximized to obtain their estimates. In single subject analysis, for each subject, separate likelihood function of the hyperparameters is constructed and maximized. Hence, for single subject analysis, for each subject we obtain a set of estimates of the hyperparameters. Clearly, multi-subject analysis benefits from being able to borrow strength across subjects and produces more precise estimates.

The function `hyperparamest` computes the hyperparameter estimates and their standard error estimates. The type of analysis must be specified as `analysis="multi"` or `"single"`. The following code illustrates the use of the function `hyperparamest` and the output.

```
options(width = 100)
hyperest <- hyperparamest(n, grid, wavecoefglmmap$WaveletCoefficientMatrix,
                          analysis = "multi")
```

```
names(hyperest)
```

```
## [1] "hyperparam" "hyperparamVar"
```

```
round(hyperest$hyperparam,3)
```

```
## [1] 1.295 1.193 0.617 0.814 2.242 0.204
```

```
signif(hyperest$hyperparamVar,4)
```

```
##          [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]      [,5]      [,6]
## [1,] 5.086e-19 3.458e-34 -3.206e-49 8.686e-35 7.181e-34 -1.557e-35
## [2,] 3.458e-34 4.319e-19 -1.473e-34 -1.143e-35 -1.576e-34 -1.722e-35
## [3,] -3.206e-49 -1.473e-34 1.153e-19 -5.318e-35 -3.583e-34 8.900e-36
## [4,] 8.686e-35 -1.143e-35 -5.318e-35 2.008e-19 -1.934e-34 -1.957e-36
## [5,] 7.181e-34 -1.576e-34 -3.583e-34 -1.934e-34 1.525e-18 8.630e-35
## [6,] -1.557e-35 -1.722e-35 8.900e-36 -1.957e-36 8.630e-35 1.265e-20
```

From the hyperparameter estimates, we can compute the estimates of a_{kl} , b_{kl} and c_{kl} (sanyal:ferreira:2012?) for all levels as follows.

```
a.kl <- hyperest$hyperparam[1] * 2^(-hyperest$hyperparam[2] * (0:4))
b.kl <- hyperest$hyperparam[3] * 2^(-hyperest$hyperparam[4] * (0:4))
c.kl <- hyperest$hyperparam[5] * 2^(-hyperest$hyperparam[6] * (0:4))
round(a.kl,3)
```

```
## [1] 1.295 0.566 0.248 0.108 0.047
```

2.6 Computing posterior distribution of the wavelet coefficients: postmixprob, postwaveletcoef

Given the values of the hyperparameters, the marginal posterior distribution of the wavelet coefficients is a mixture of a Gaussian and a point mass at zero with mixture probabilities \bar{p}_{iklj} . BHMSMA computes \bar{p}_{iklj} values using Newton-Cotes numerical integration method. The function `postmixprob` computes the values \bar{p}_{iklj} for all subjects and returns in a matrix. The following code illustrates it.

```
pkljbar <- postmixprob(n, grid, wavecoefglmmap$WaveletCoefficientMatrix,
                      hyperest$hyperparam, analysis = "multi")
```

```
names(pkljbar)
```

```
## [1] "pkljbar"
```

```
dim(pkljbar$pkljbar)
```

```
## [1] 3 1023
```

```
round(pkljbar$pkljbar[1,1:10],4)
```

```
## [1] 0.9868 0.9116 0.9466 0.9096 0.9174 0.9237 0.8922 0.8912 0.9998 0.9599
```

Once \bar{p}_{iklj} values are obtained, the marginal posterior distribution of the wavelet coefficients are entirely known. With the hyperparameter estimates and the \bar{p}_{iklj} values, the function `postwaveletcoef` computes the posterior mean and the posterior median of the wavelet coefficients. The following code illustrates it.

```
postwavecoefglmmmap <- postwaveletcoef(n, grid,
                                     wavecoefglmmmap$WaveletCoefficientMatrix,
                                     hyperest$hyperparam, pkljbar$pkljbar, analysis = "multi")
names(postwavecoefglmmmap)
```

```
## [1] "PostMeanWaveletCoef" "PostMedianWaveletCoef"
```

```
dim(postwavecoefglmmmap$PostMeanWaveletCoef)
```

```
## [1] 3 1023
```

```
dim(postwavecoefglmmmap$PostMedianWaveletCoef)
```

```
## [1] 3 1023
```

2.7 Computing posterior mean of the regression coefficients: `postglmcoef`

Given the posterior mean of the wavelet coefficients, the function `postglmcoef` is used to obtain the posterior means of the regression coefficients. The following code shows its use.

```
postglmmap <- postglmcoef(n, grid, glmmmap$GLMCoefStandardized[, , k],
                        postwavecoefglmmmap$PostMeanWaveletCoef, wave.family="DaubLeAsymm",
                        filter.number=6, bc="periodic")
str(postglmmap, vec.len = 3, digits.d = 2)
```

```
## List of 1
```

```
## $ GLMcoefposterior: num [1:3, 1:32, 1:32] -0.36 -0.188 -0.014 0.231 ...
```

```
par(mfrow=c(1,n), cex=1)
```

```
for(subject in 1:n)
```

```
  image(abs(postglmmap$GLMcoefposterior[subject, , ]), col=heat.colors(8),
        zlim=c(0,6), main=paste0("Subject ", subject))
```

Figure 3, obtained by the following code, shows the images of the posterior standardized regression coefficients for the 3 subjects.

As the true coefficients are known, we can compute the mean squared error (MSE) using the following code.

```
MSE <- c()
```

```
for (i in 1:n)
```

```
  MSE[i] <- sum((as.vector(truecoef[i, , ]/glmmmap$GLMCoefSE[i, , 2])
```





Figure 3: Posterior standardized regression coefficient images for the 3 subjects obtained by BHMSMA

```

- as.vector(postglmmap$GLMcoefposterior[i,,])^2)
round(MSE,3)

```

```
## [1] 446.324 309.668 306.516
```

In (sanyal:ferreira:2012?), we show that our multi-subject methodology performs better than some existing methodologies in terms of MSE.

2.8 Posterior simulation and uncertainty estimation: `postsamples`

In order to simulate observations from the posterior distribution of the regression coefficients, the function `postsamples` can be used. The type of analysis must be mentioned. The code below shows its use.

```

Postsamp <- postsamples( nsample=50, n, grid, glmmap$GLMCoefStandardized[, ,k],
                        wavecoefglmmap$WaveletCoefficientMatrix, hyperest$hyperparam,
                        pkljbar$pkljbar, "multi", seed=123)
names(Postsamp)

```

```
## [1] "samples"      "postdiscovery"
```

```
dim(Postsamp$samples)
```

```
## [1]  3 32 32 50
```

```
dim(Postsamp$postdiscovery)
```

```
## [1]  3 32 32
```

The argument `nsample` denotes the number of samples to be drawn. We can see `postsamples` returns the posterior samples and the probabilities of posterior discovery (Morris et al. 2011) for all the subjects. Figure 4, obtained by the following code, shows the posterior discovery images based on the above 50 samples for the 3 subjects.

```

par(mfrow=c(1,n))
for(subject in 1:n)
  image(Postsamp$postdiscovery[subject,,], col=heat.colors(8),
        main=paste0("Subject ",subject))

```




Figure 4: Posterior discovery images for the 3 subjects

From the posterior samples, the posterior standard deviations of the regression coefficients can be computed as follows.

```

postsd <- array(dim=c(n,grid,grid))
for(subject in 1:n)
  postsd[subject,,] <- apply(Postsamp$samples[subject,,], 1:2, sd)
                        round(postsd[1,1:5,1:5],3)

```

```

##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
## [1,] 0.466 0.496 0.471 0.677 0.677
## [2,] 0.655 0.737 0.531 0.677 0.687
## [3,] 0.657 0.465 0.472 0.435 0.656
## [4,] 0.656 0.702 0.470 0.581 0.597
## [5,] 0.571 0.468 0.417 0.532 0.508

```

2.9 Posterior group estimates: postgroupglmcoef

Posterior group coefficients can be obtained by using the function `postgroupglmcoef` as follows.

```

postgroup <- postgroupglmcoef( n, grid, glmmap$GLMCoefStandardized[,,k],
                              postwavecoefglmmap$PostMeanWaveletCoef)
names(postgroup)

```

```
## [1] "groupcoef"
```

```
dim(postgroup$groupcoef)
```

```
## [1] 32 32
```

Figure 5, obtained by the following code, shows the posterior group coefficient image for the simulated dataset.

```
par(mfrow=c(1,1),cex=0.5)
image(abs(postgroup$groupcoef),col=heat.colors(8),zlim=c(0,6))
```




Figure 5: Posterior group regression coefficient image

References

- Cox, R. W. 1996. “AFNI: Software for Analysis and Visualization of Functional Magnetic Resonance Neuroimages.” *Computers and Biomedical Research* 29 (3): 162–73. www.scopus.com.
- Friston, K. J., J. Ashburner, S. J. Kiebel, T. E. Nichols, and W. D. Penny, eds. 2007. *Statistical Parametric Mapping: The Analysis of Functional Brain Images*. Academic Press. <http://store.elsevier.com/product.jsp?isbn=9780123725608>.
- Goebel, R., F. Esposito, and E. Formisano. 2006. “Analysis of Functional Image Analysis Contest (FIAC) Data with BrainVoyager QX: From Single-Subject to Cortically Aligned Group General Linear Model Analysis and Self-Organizing Group Independent Component Analysis.” *Human Brain Mapping* 27 (5): 392–401. <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33646887235&partnerID=40&md5=aefed1133973ab52139be114d9ca1a51>.
- Morris, J. S., V. Baladandayuthapani, R. C. Herrick, P. Sanna, and H. Gutstein. 2011. “Automated Analysis of Quantitative Image Data Using Isomorphic Functional Mixed Models, with Application to Proteomic Data.” *Annals of Applied Statistics* 5: 894–923.
- Nason, Guy. 2013. “*Wavethresh: Wavelets Statistics and Transforms*.” <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=wavethresh>.
- Smith, S. M., M. Jenkinson, M. W. Woolrich, C. F. Beckmann, T. E. J. Behrens, H. Johansen-Berg, P. R. Bannister, et al. 2004. “Advances in Functional and Structural MR Image Analysis and Implementation as FSL.” *NeuroImage* 23 (SUPPL. 1): S208–19.

<http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-7044260964&partnerID=40&md5=b6b2ed8bc18a8324b7fc49080e42ad9c>.

Welvaert, Marijke, Joke Durnez, Beatrijs Moerkerke, Geert Verdoolaege, and Yves Rosseel. 2011. “neuRosim: An R Package for Generating fMRI Data.” *Journal of Statistical Software* 44 (10): 1–18. <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v44/i10/>.

Whitcher, Brandon, Volker J. Schmid, and Andrew Thornton. 2011. “Working with the DICOM and NIFTI Data Standards in R.” *Journal of Statistical Software* 44 (6): 1–28.