

# Model selection using GAMM with MuMIn

Kamil Bartoń

September 23, 2011

## 1 Extending MuMIn's functionality to support gamm

The two principal functions in MuMIn, `model.avg` and `dredge` rely on availability of methods for a several generic function for the class of the given fitted model object. These generic functions include ones defined in package `stats` (`logLik`, `formula`, `nobs`, and optionally `deviance` which may simply return `NULL`), as well as ones defined in MuMIn itself (`coeffs`, `getAllTerms` and `tTable`). In some cases the default methods may work as well.

In case of `gamm` and `gamm4`, the returned object has no special class, it is a list with two items: `lme` or `mer`, and `gam` (with some information stripped from it). Therefore no specific methods can be applied.

The solution is to provide a wrapper function for `gamm` that evaluates the model and adds a class attribute onto it, e.g.:

```
> gamm <- function(...) structure(c(mgcv::gamm(...), list(call = match.call())),  
+   class = c("gamm", "list"))
```

similarly for `gamm4` (but assign the same class `gamm`):

```
> gamm4 <- function(...) structure(c(gamm4::gamm4(...), list(call = match.call())),  
+   class = c("gamm", "list"))
```

As the wrappers have the same names as the actual functions, use of them is invisible for the user, and they mask the original functions on the level of `.GlobalEnv`.

In addition, these wrappers add a `call` element, containing the original call to the wrapper function. It is not necessary, but makes things easier later on for `dredge`.

Once we have an object of class `gamm`, it is possible to provide methods for it. First let us define the generic methods from `stats`.

```
> logLik.gamm <- function(object, ...) logLik(object[[if (is.null(object$lme)) "mer" else "lme"]],  
+   ...)  
> formula.gamm <- function(x, ...) formula(x$gam, ...)  
> nobs.gamm <- function(object, ...) nobs(object$gam, ...)
```

It should be noted here that the issue of what the log-likelihood for GAMM should be is not entirely clear. The documentation for `gamm` states that the log-likelihood of `lme` is not the one of the fitted GAMM. However, comparing alternative models presents some evidence that it may be still appropriate for `gamm`. Namely both the log-likelihood of fitted `lme`, and one of the `lme` part of `gamm` (including only linear terms to make the comparison adequate) have identical values.

```

> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.2, dist = "gaussian")

4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model

> fm1 <- gamm(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1),
+   method = "ML")
> fm2 <- lme(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1),
+   method = "ML")
> logLik(fm1$lme)

'log Lik.' -214.5197 (df=7)

> logLik(fm2)

'log Lik.' -214.5197 (df=7)

```

Likewise is in the generalised case of `gamm4` and `lmer`:

```

> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.2, dist = "poisson")

4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model

> fmg1 <- gamm4(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, family = poisson, data = dat,
+   random = ~(1 | fac))
> fmg2 <- lmer(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3 + (1 | fac), family = poisson,
+   data = dat)
> logLik(fmg1$mer)

'log Lik.' -460.5087 (df=6)

> logLik(fmg2)

'log Lik.' -460.5087 (df=6)

```

Similarly, comparison of `gamm4` with a smooth term, with fixed two degrees of freedom gives log-likelihood which is very close to that of `lmer` that includes a linear and quadratic term.

```

> fmgs1 <- gamm4(y ~ x0 + s(x1, k = 3, fx = TRUE) + x2 + x3, family = poisson,
+   data = dat, random = ~(1 | fac))
> fmgs2 <- lmer(y ~ x0 + x1 + I(x1^2) + x2 + x3 + (1 | fac), family = poisson,
+   data = dat)
> logLik(fmgs1$mer)

'log Lik.' -459.4854 (df=7)

> logLik(fmgs2)

'log Lik.' -460.3622 (df=7)

```

Normally, the object returned by `gam` inherits also from `glm`, so the `nobs` method for `glm` is called, but in case of `gamm` the `gam` element has only class `gam`, so we need to define method directly (it just calls `nobs.glm`):

```
> nobs.gam <- function(object, ...) stats::nobs.glm(object, ...)
```

Methods for generic functions defined in `MuMIn`:

```
> coeffs.gamm <- function(model) coef(model$gam)
> getAllTerms.gamm <- function(x, ...) getAllTerms(x$gam)
> tTable.gamm <- function(model, ...) tTable(model$gam)
```

(the name `tTable` is somewhat misleading, as the `data.frame` returned does not need to contain *t*-values, two columns are obligatory: 'Estimate' and 'Std. Error')

## 2 Model selection

Now we have all the prerequisites to proceed with the model selection:

```
> set.seed(0)
> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.5, dist = "normal")

4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model

> fmgs2 <- gamm(y ~ s(x0) + s(x3) + f0, family = gaussian, data = dat,
+   random = list(fac = ~1))
```

This model fits quite poor. This is deliberate, to justify the model averaging.

```
> head(dd2 <- dredge(fmgs2))
```

```
Global model: gamm(y ~ s(x0) + s(x3) + f0, family = gaussian, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1))
---
```

Model selection table

	s(x0)	s(x3)	k	AICc	delta	weight
1			3	558.1	0.000	0.790
3		+	5	562.1	4.002	0.107
2 +			5	562.4	4.311	0.092
4 +	+		7	566.5	8.439	0.012

(Note that we get quite different results using `gamm4`)

```
> summary(model.avg(dd2, subset = cumsum(weight) <= 0.95))
```

```
Call: model.avg(object = dd2, subset = cumsum(weight) <= 0.95)
```

Model summary:

	Deviance	AICc	Delta	Weight
	558.08		0	0.88
1	562.08		4	0.12

Variables:

1  
s(x3)

Model-averaged coefficients:

	Coefficient	SE	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	1.628e+01	1.606e+00	10.141	<2e-16 ***
s(x3).1	2.228e-10	3.083e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).2	-1.574e-10	4.299e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).3	2.397e-11	1.010e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).4	-1.426e-10	2.511e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).5	-3.413e-11	6.379e-06	0.000	1.000
s(x3).6	-1.320e-10	2.372e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).7	6.598e-11	1.268e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).8	5.200e-10	7.733e-05	0.000	1.000
s(x3).9	-2.667e-02	1.444e-01	0.185	0.853

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Non-present predictors taken to be zero

Relative variable importance:

<NA> s(x3)  
0.12 0.00